4-Connected Polyhedra have a Linear Number of Hamiltonian Cycles



Gunnar Brinkmann, Nico Van Cleemput

Concerning hamiltonicity for

plane triangulations and polyhedra

the same results seem to hold -

though they can have much fewer edges.

(Ratio:
$$\frac{3|V|-6}{2|V|}$$
)





- Whitney (1931): 4-connected plane triangulations are hamiltonian
- Tutte (1956): 4-connected polyhedra are hamiltonian

(25 years)





- Jackson, Yu (2002): plane triangulations with at most three 3-cuts are hamiltonian
- B., Zamfirescu (2019): polyhedra with at most three 3-cuts are hamiltonian

(17 years)





 plane triangulations with six 3-cuts can be non-hamiltonian

 polyhedra with six 3-cuts can be nonhamiltonian





for plane triangulations with four or five
 3-cuts: unknown, but 1-tough

 for polyhedra with four or five 3-cuts: unknown, but 1-tough





- Hakimi, Schmeichel, Thomassen (1979): 4-connected planar triangulations have at least |V|/log|V| hamiltonian cycles. (improved to ¹²/₅(|V| - 2) (2018), B., Souffriau, Van Cleemput)
- From a result of Thomassen (1983): 4connected polyhedra have at least 6 hamiltonian cycles.

already 40 years ago...

(Alahmadi, Aldred, Thomassen 2019: 5-connected triangulations have an exponential number of hamiltonian cycles) Only trivial lower bounds are known, but computations suggest that for $|V| \ge 18$ this is the 4 connected **polyhedron** with the smallest number of hamiltonian cycles:



 $2|V|^2 - 12|V| + 16$ hamiltonian cycles

Hakimi, Schmeichel, Thomassen (1979) with result of Whitney (1931):

Each **zigzag** in a triangle-pair in a 4-connected triangulation can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle.



There is a linear number of such zigzags.

Problem: a single hamiltonian cycle can contain a linear number of these zigzags...



... giving in total a constant number of hamiltonian cycles.



A hamiltonian cycle with k disjoint zigzags guarantees 2^k hamiltonian cycles by "switching".



This explains the $\ldots / \log |V|$ in the formula.

The main contribution of the 2018-paper:

counting differently via counting bases:

Definition:

Let G be a graph and let C be a collection of hamiltonian cycles of G. The pair (S, r), where $S \subset 2^{E(G)}$ and r is a function $r : S \to 2^{E(G)}$, is called a *counting base* for G and C if the pair (S, r) has the following properties:

- (i) for all $S \in S$, there is a hamiltonian cycle $C \in C$ saturating S.
- (ii) for all $S \in S$, $r(S) \subseteq E(G)$ (not necessarily in S) so that $S \not\subset r(S)$ and for each hamiltonian cycle $C \in C$ saturating S we have that $z(C, S) = (C \setminus S) \cup r(S)$ is a hamiltonian cycle in C.
- (iii) for all $S_1 \neq S_2$, $S_1, S_2 \in S$ and C saturating S_1 and S_2 , we have that $z(C, S_1) \neq z(C, S_2)$.

Informally: A *switching subgraph* is a subgraph that can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle and can be switched.



Very informally:

The counting base lemma:

If one has a set S of switching subgraphs, so that each switching subgraph overlaps with at most c others, then there are at least |S|/c hamiltonian cycles.



Two big problems for polyhedra:

- (a) The subgraphs must be extendable to hamiltonian cycles in polyhedra – not just in triangulations.
- (b) Unlike triangulations, polyhedra can locally look very differently – there might e.g. be no triangle pairs.

Some polyhedra do not have a single of the switching subgraphs we have seen so far.







The key for solving (a):

Lemma: (Jackson, Yu, 2002) Let (G, F) be a circuit graph, r, z be vertices of G and $e \in E(F)$. Then G contains an F-Tutte cycle X through e, r and z.

Circuit graph: G plane, 2-connected, F facial cycle, for each 2-cut each component contains elements from F
F-Tutte cycle: cycle C, so that bridges contain at most 3 endpoints on C and at most 2 if it contains an edge of F.

With Jackson/Yu:

In a 4-connected polyhedron each of the following subgraphs can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle,

if it is present in the polyhedron...



Unfortunately

- for each of those switching subgraphs there are 4-connected polyhedra not containing it
- for each pair of those switching subgraphs there are 4-connected polyhedra containing only a small constant number of them

but







Theorem

Each 4-connected polyhedron has a linear number of those three switching subgraphs.



So with the counting base lemma: 4-connected polyhedra have at least a linear number of hamiltonian cycles.





Let f_i denote the faces of size i.



<u>Lemma</u>

- A polyhedron has at least $3f_3 |V|$ hourglasses.
- $f_3 \ge 8 + \sum_{i>4} (i-4) f_i$





Assign the value 0 to angles of triangles and quadrangles and value $\frac{i-4}{i}$ to each angle of an *i*-gon with i > 4.

Define a(v) as the sum of all angle values around v.



$$\sum_{v \in V} a(v) = \sum_{i>4} (i-4) f_i$$

As hourglasses are switching subgraphs:

With \mathcal{S}_w the set of switching subgraphs this gives

$|\mathcal{S}_w| \ge 24 + 3 \sum_{v \in V} a(v) - |V|$





Furthermore assign the following weights w'(v) to vertices in switching subgraphs:



With w(v) the sum of all w'(v) we have: $\sum_{v \in V} w(v) = |S_w|$

Lemma

Let G = (V, E) be a plane graph with minimum degree 4. Then for each $v \in V$ we have

$$a(v) + w(v) \ge \frac{2}{5}$$

SO

$$\sum_{v \in V} a(v) + |\mathcal{S}_w| \ge \frac{2}{5}|V|$$

EIT

GENI





Lemma:

For 4-connected polyhedra we have $|S_w| \ge \frac{1}{20}|V| + 6.$ So: 4-connected polyhedra have at least a linear number of hamiltonian cycles.

> **Proof:** Set $a(V) = \sum_{v \in V} a(v)$. We have two equations: $|S_w| \ge 24 + 3a(V) - |V|$ $|S_w| \ge \frac{2}{5}|V| - a(V)$ compute intersection





Lemma:

Polyhedra G = (V, E) with at most one 3-cut and for some c > 0 at least $(2 + \frac{2}{33} + c)|V|$ edges have at least a linear number of hamiltonian cycles.





Thank you for your attention!



